

Finite waiting space bulk queueing systems

T. P. BAGCHI* AND J. G. C. TEMPLETON

Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Toronto, Canada

(Received August 7, 1972)

SUMMARY

This paper extends earlier work on the stationary queue length distribution of a bulk service system with finite waiting space by considering two queueing systems. The first system incorporates the feature of batch arrivals with group service; it has compound Poisson input, general service times and a single server with variable batch capacity. The second system has individually arriving customers with Erlangian interarrival time distribution, general service times and a single server with variable batch capacity.

The financial support of the National Research Council of Canada, under Research Grants No. 3-644-189-60; NRC-A5639 and No. 3-641-189-10; NRC-A2796, is acknowledged.

1. Introduction

Singh [2] has studied the stationary behaviour of a finite waiting space queueing system with simple Poisson input, general service times, and a single server with variable batch capacity. In the present paper we employ a slightly altered "imbedding" from his, but essentially the same procedure, to consider two different queueing systems. The first system has compound Poisson input, general service times and a single server with variable batch capacity. This is a bulk queueing system, incorporating the feature of batch arrivals with group service, and is denoted by $M^{(X)}/G^{(Y)}/1/(N)$. The second system has individually arriving customers with Erlangian interarrival time distribution, general service times and a single server with variable batch capacity. Unless otherwise specified, the notations employed in this paper will be identical with those of Singh.

2. $M^{(X)}/G^{(Y)}/1/(N)$ Queueing system

This bulk queueing system can be characterised as follows:

(i) Customers arrive in batches that are of variable size. The batches arrive one at a time in a Poisson process with parameter λ . The probability that n batches arrive in a time interval $(0, t)$ equals

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n}{n!}.$$

(ii) If $p_j^{(n)}$ denotes the probability that n arriving batches bring a total of j customers, then the probability that j customers arrive in a time interval $(0, t)$, assuming that each arriving batch brings at least one customer, may be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^j \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n}{n!} p_j^{(n)}.$$

If, for instance, the sizes of the arriving batches are distributed geometrically, with $\text{Pr}\{\text{batch size} = v\} = (1-p)p^{v-1}$, $v = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then

$$p_j^{(n)} = \binom{j-1}{n-1} p^{j-n} (1-p)^n, \quad j \geq n > 0.$$

* Now at Imperial Oil Ltd., Sarnia, Ontario, Canada.

(iii) The customers are served in batches of variable capacity, the maximum service capacity for the server being s . The server stays continuously busy and begins a service period immediately after the completion of the preceding period. Also, he is "intermittently available", in that arrivals joining the system after the commencement of a given service period must wait for the commencement of the next service period. (Bailey's [1] model incorporates a similar rule.) Let t_1, t_2, \dots be the instants of completion of a sequence of service periods and let v_n denote the service time $(t_n - t_{n-1})$. We assume that $\{v_n\}$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with a common distribution function $G(t)$ ($0 \leq t < \infty$). Also, we assume that $v_n, n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ are independent of the arrival process.

(iv) Let $s - Y_n$ be the capacity for service ending at t_{n+1} ($n=0, 1, 2, \dots$). We assume that $\{Y_n\}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables, also independent of the arrival process. Let

$$\Pr\{Y_n = r\} = b_r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq s$$

$$= 0 \quad r > s.$$

For the service period starting immediately after t_n , the server takes a number of customers equal to $\min(s - Y_n, \text{whole queue length})$. Let

$$B_j = \sum_{r=0}^j b_r$$

and

$$B_s(x) = \sum_{r=0}^s b_r x^r$$

with $B_0 = b_0$.

(v) The waiting room has a fixed capacity of N customers, including those in service. An arrival finding the system full balks by assumption, and an arrival after joining the system does not renege.

Singh's model is an $M/G^{(Y)}/1/(N+1)$ queue in which state E_{N+1} is never occupied at a time (t_n+0) just after a departure. The present model is an $M^{(X)}/G^{(Y)}/1/(N)$ queue in which state E_N may be occupied at a time (t_n+0) just after a departure, because the service capacity $(s - Y_n)$ of the system may be zero. Therefore both models give rise to imbedded Markov chains with the same state space $\{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_N\}$.

3. Analysis of the $M^{(X)}/G^{(Y)}/1/(N)$ system

The analysis proceeds with the specification of a discrete parameter Markov chain which is imbedded in the continuous time parameter queuing process. We define the state of the system as E_j when there are j customers in the system immediately following a service completion. The transition probabilities of the imbedded Markov chain are defined as follows:

$$r_{ij} = \Pr\{j \text{ customers in system at } (t_{n+1}+0) | i \text{ customers in system at } (t_n+0)\}$$

$$= \Pr\{\text{next state is } E_j | \text{previous state was } E_i\}$$

The equilibrium (stationary) probabilities of the chain are defined as

$$p_j = \Pr\{\text{the system is in state } E_j\}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

and an associated probability generating function is written as

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_j x^j.$$

Since customers may arrive in batches, the probability that a given number of customers arrive during a service period in the present case is different from the corresponding probability specified by Singh. Accordingly, if X_n denotes the number of customers arriving during the

service period ending at t_n , then the distribution of X_n will be given by

$$\Pr\{X_n = j\} = k_j = \int_0^\infty \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^i}{i!} p_j^{(i)} dG(t),$$

where $p_j^{(i)}$ denotes the probability that i arriving batches bring a total of j customers, as stated earlier. An associated generating function is defined as

$$K(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} k_j x^j.$$

When the above notations are employed, the transition probability matrix for the $M^{(X)}/G^{(Y)}/1/(N)$ queueing system becomes identical with Table 1 of Singh, after changing that table so that $r_{2N} = l_N B_{s-2} + l_{N-1} b_{s-1} + l_{N-2} b_s$. Likewise, the equations determining the equilibrium probabilities become

$$\begin{aligned} p_j = & k_j p_0 + (k_j B_{s-1} + k_{j-1} b_s) p_1 + (k_j B_{s-2} + k_{j-1} b_{s-1} + k_{j-2} b_s) p_2 + \dots \\ & + (k_j B_1 + k_{j-1} b_2 + \dots + k_{j-s+1} b_s) p_{s-1} \\ & + (k_j b_0 + k_{j-1} b_1 + \dots + k_{j-s} b_s) p_s + \dots \\ & + (k_{j-N+s+1} b_0 + k_{j-N+s} b_1 + \dots + k_{j-N+1} b_s) p_{N-1} \\ & + (k_{j-N+s} b_0 + k_{j-N+s-1} b_1 + \dots + k_{j-N} b_s) p_N \\ & \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p_N = & l_N p_0 + (l_N B_{s-1} + l_{N-1} b_s) p_1 + (l_N B_{s-2} + l_{N-1} b_{s-1} + l_{N-2} b_s) p_2 + \dots \\ & + (l_{s+1} b_0 + l_s b_1 + \dots + l_1 b_s) p_{N-1} + (l_s b_0 + \dots + l_0 b_s) p_N, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$l_r = k_r + k_{r+1} + k_{r+2} + \dots$$

Following Singh (pages 244–6) one obtains

$$Q(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i \{x^s B_{s-i} - x^i B_{s-i}(x)\}}{x^s / K(x) - B_s(x)}$$

From this the probabilities p_0, p_1, \dots, p_N , which specify the stationary behaviour of the $M^{(X)}/G^{(Y)}/1/(N)$ queueing system may be evaluated, when a count of the number of customers present in the system is made immediately following every service completion. Stationary results due to Singh for the $M/G^{(Y)}/1/(N+1)$ queue are obtained from $Q(x)$ above if the function $K(x)$ is defined as in equation (3) of Singh.

4. $E_j/G^{(Y)}/1/(N)$ queueing system

This queueing system can be described as follows:

(i) Customers arrive one by one, the interarrival times being independent and identically distributed random variables with an Erlangian distribution of order l and mean l/λ . Each of the arriving customers may be assumed to pass through l different stages, the durations of the stages being mutually independent random variables with the distribution $\lambda e^{-\lambda t} dt (0 \leq t < \infty)$.

(ii) The customers are served in batches of variable capacity, the maximum service capacity for the server being s . The server is continuously busy and is “intermittently available” as assumed in the $M^{(X)}/G^{(Y)}/1/(N)$ case above.

(iii) Let $s - Y_n$ be the capacity for service ending at t_{n+1} ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). It is assumed that $\{Y_n\}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables, also independent of the arrival process. Let

$$\Pr \{Y_n = r\} = b_r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq s$$

$$= 0 \quad r > s.$$

For the service starting after t_n the server takes $\min(s - Y_n, \text{whole queue length})$. Let

$$B_j = \sum_{r=0}^j b_r$$

and

$$B_s(x) = \sum_{r=0}^s b_r x^r$$

with $B_0 = b_0$.

(iv) The waiting room has a fixed capacity of N customers, including those in service. An arrival finding the system full balks by assumption, and an arrival after joining the system does not renege.

The queueing process $\{Q(t)\}$ in this system is non-Markovian in general. It is possible, however, to obtain a Markov chain that is imbedded in the process $\{R(t)\}$, which is the number of stages completed by the customers who are in the system at time t . We note that when a customer departs from the queueing system, the current value of $R(t)$ is reduced by l . We define the state of the system as E_j when there are j stages in the system immediately following a service completion. The transition probabilities of the imbedded Markov chain are defined as follows:

$$r_{ij} = \Pr \{\text{next state is } E_j | \text{previous state was } E_i\}.$$

The equilibrium probabilities of the system are defined as

$$p_j = \Pr \{\text{the system is in state } j\}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, Nl + l - 1.$$

Let X_n be the number of stages arriving during a service period ending at t_n . Then the distribution of X_n is given by

$$\Pr \{X_n = j\} = k_j = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^j}{j!} dG(t)$$

We next introduce a new set of probabilities $\{a_i\}$ that are associated with the number of stages leaving the queueing system as customers are served in batches of variable size. Let

$$a_i = b_r, \quad i = lr, \quad 0 \leq r \leq s$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise}.$$

Let

$$A_j = \sum_{i=0}^j a_i$$

with $A_0 = b_0$. The transition probability matrix for the system may now be constructed. It is a $(N + 1)l \times (N + 1)l$ stochastic matrix, structurally similar to Table 1 of Singh. Since the state space $\{E_j\}$ is finite, the system must possess a unique equilibrium distribution. The equations determining the equilibrium probabilities become

$$p_j = k_j p_0 + k_{j-1} a_{ls} p_1 + k_{j-2} a_{ls} p_2 + \dots + k_{j-s+1} a_{ls} p_{s-1} + k_{j-s} a_{ls} p_s + \dots$$

$$+ p_l (k_j A_{l(s-1)} + k_{j-l} a_{ls}) + p_{l+1} k_{j-l-1} a_{ls} + \dots$$

$$+ p_{2l} (k_j A_{l(s-2)} + k_{j-l} a_{l(s-1)} + k_{j-2l} a_{ls})$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ p_{sl} (k_j A_{l(s-s)} + k_{j-l} a_l + k_{j-2l} a_{2l} + \dots + k_{j-sl} a_{sl})$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ p_{Nl} (k_{j-l(N-s)} A_{l(s-s)} + k_{j-l(N-s+1)} a_l + k_{j-l(N-s+2)} a_{2l} + \dots + k_{j-Nl} a_{sl})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ p_{Nl+1} (k_{j-l(N-s)-1} A_{l(s-s)} + k_{j-l(N-s+1)-1} a_l + k_{j-l(N-s+2)-1} a_{2l} + \dots + k_{j-Nl-1} a_{sl}) \\
 &+ \dots \\
 &+ p_{Nl+l-1} (k_{j-l(N-s+1)+1} A_{l(s-s)} + k_{j-l(N-s+2)+1} a_l + \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad + k_{j-l(N-s+3)+1} a_{2l} + \dots + k_{j-l(N+1)+1} a_{sl}), \\
 &\text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (N+1)l-2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The above provides $Nl+l-1$ linear simultaneous equations, involving $(N+1)l$ unknowns.

The solution of this system of equations, combined with $\sum_{j=0}^{Nl+l-1} p_j = 1$ yields the equilibrium

probabilities $\{p_j\}$. A numerical approach to this solution is in practice satisfactory; it avoids the determination of the zeros of certain polynomials and of the coefficients in a power series that determine the desired state probabilities. If R_n now denotes the number of completed stages at an arbitrary departure epoch (in this steady state) marked by n , then the corresponding number of customers in the system will be given by Q_n , when Q_n is the largest integer such that $lQ_n \leq R_n$.

Note added in proof:

Lwin and Ghosal [4] have studied the $M/G^b/1/(N+1)b$ queueing model, with fixed service capacity b , using the imbedded Markov chain method and obtaining a generating function which can be found from Singh's results. Gaur [3] obtains time-dependent and stationary solutions for the queue length distribution in a $M^X/M^Y/1/(N)$ queue, subject to constraints on the size of arrival batches and service batches.

REFERENCES

[1] N. T. J. Bailey, On Queueing Processes with Bulk Service, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 16, pp. 80-87, 1954.
 [2] V. P. Singh, Finite Waiting Space Bulk Service System, *Journal of Engineering Math.*, 5, No. 4, pp. 241-248, 1971; Addendum, *Journal of Engineering Math.*, 6, No. 1, pp. 85-88, 1972.
 [3] R. S. Gaur, A Limited Queueing Problem with Any Number of Arrivals and Departures, *Revue Française d'Automatique, Informatique et Recherche Opérationnelle*, 6, 87-93, V-2, October 1972.
 [4] T. Lwin and A. Ghosal, On the Finite Capacity Treatment of Bailey's Queueing Model, *Calcutta Statist. Assoc. Bull.*, 20, 67-76, 1971.